LAURE CARDOULIS<sup>1</sup>, QUÔC ANH NGÔ, HOANG QUOC TOAN

# Existence of non-negative Solutions for cooperative elliptic Systems involving Schrödinger Operators in the whole Space

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we obtain some new results on the existence of non-negative solutions for systems of the form

$$(-\Delta + q_i)u_i = \mu_i m_i u_i + \sum_{j=1; j \neq i}^n a_{ij} u_j + f_i(x, u_1, ..., u_n) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \ i = 1, ..., n,$$

where each of the  $q_i$  are positive potentials satisfying  $\lim_{|x|\to+\infty} q_i(x) = +\infty$ , each of the  $m_i$  are bounded positive weights, each of the  $a_{ij}$ ,  $i \neq j$ , are bounded non-negative weights and each of the  $\mu_i$  are real parameters. Depending upon the hypotheses on  $f_i$ , we obtain some new results by using sub- and super-solution methods and the Schauder Fixed Point Theorem.

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we are interested in the existence of non-negative solutions of the following cooperative elliptic system

$$(-\Delta + q_i)u_i = \mu_i m_i u_i + \sum_{j=1; j \neq i}^n a_{ij} u_j + f_i(x, u_1, \dots, u_n), \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, i = 1, \dots, n.$$
(1.1)

We consider the following hypotheses for each i = 1, ..., n and j = 1, ..., n

(**h**<sub>1</sub>)  $q_i \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$   $(p > \frac{N}{2})$  such that  $\lim_{|x| \to +\infty} q_i(x) = +\infty$  and  $q_i \ge \text{const} > 0$ . (**h**<sub>2</sub>)  $a_{ij} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $a_{ij} \ge 0$  if  $i \ne j$ .

(**h**<sub>3</sub>)  $m_i \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and there exists  $\alpha_i > 0$  such that  $m_i(x) \ge \alpha_i > 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ .

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ Corresponding author

Note that our system is cooperative since  $a_{ij} \ge 0$  if  $i \ne j$ . We will specify later the hypotheses on each  $f_i$  and we denote by  $\mu_i$  real parameters for i = 1, ..., n.

The variational space is denoted by  $V_{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times \cdots \times V_{q_n}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  where for  $i = 1, \ldots, n, V_{q_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the completion of  $D(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , the set of  $C^{\infty}$  functions with compact support, under the norm

$$||u||_{q_i} = \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( |\nabla u|^2 + q_i u^2 \right)}.$$
 (1.2)

We recall that each of the embedding  $V_{q_i}(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is compact. We denote by

$$||u||_{m_i} = \sqrt{\int_{\Omega} m_i u^2}$$
 for all  $u \in L^2(\Omega)$ .

According to the hypothesis  $(\mathbf{h}_3)$ ,  $\|\cdot\|_{m_i}$  is a norm in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , equivalent to the usual norm so the embedding  $V_{q_i}(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow (L^2(\mathbb{R}^N), \|\cdot\|_{m_i})$  is still compact.

We denote by  $M_i$  the operator of multiplication by  $m_i$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . The operator

$$(-\Delta + q_i)^{-1}M_i : (L^2(\mathbb{R}^N), \|\cdot\|_{m_i}) \to (L^2(\mathbb{R}^N), \|\cdot\|_{m_i})$$

is positive self-adjoint and compact. So its spectrum is discrete and consists of a positive sequence tending to 0. We denote by  $\lambda_i$  the first eigenvalue and by  $\phi_i$  the corresponding eigenfunction which satisfy

$$(-\Delta + q_i)\phi_i = \lambda_i m_i \phi_i \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \, \lambda_i > 0 \tag{1.3}$$

and  $\|\phi_i\|_{m_i} = 1$ . We recall that  $\lambda_i$  is simple and that  $\phi_i > 0$  (see for examples [1, 2, 4, 5, 15, 16]). By the Courant-Fischer formulas,  $\lambda_i$  is given by

$$\lambda_i = \inf\left\{\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla \phi|^2 + q_i \phi^2\right)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m_i \phi^2}, \phi \in D(\mathbb{R}^N)\right\}.$$
(1.4)

The aim of this paper is to study the existence of non-negative solutions for the system (1.1). This extends earlier results obtained for the Laplacian operator in a bounded domain (see [12, 13]), for an operator of divergence form in a bounded domain (see [9]), for equations or systems involving Schrödinger operators  $-\Delta + q_i$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  (see [3, 6–8, 10, 11]).

Our paper is organized as follows. Section 2 provides some preliminaries and notations before stating our main result which is given in Section 3. In Section 4, we give some remarks on our hypotheses for a two-by-two system.

### 2 Preliminaries and Notations

#### **2.1** Review of results for the scalar case (i = 1)

We consider here the following equation, in a variational sense,

$$(-\Delta + q)u = \lambda mu + g \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$
(e)

We assume the following: The potential q satisfies  $(\mathbf{h_1})$ , the weight m satisfies  $(\mathbf{h_3})$ , the constant  $\lambda$  is a real parameter and finally  $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . We denote by  $(\lambda_{m,q}, \phi_{m,q})$  the eigenpair of eigenvalue and eigenfunction which satisfy

$$(-\Delta + q)\phi_{m,q} = \lambda_{m,q}m\phi_{m,q} \quad , \quad \lambda_{m,q} > 0, \phi_{m,q} > 0.$$

We recall the following results on the existence of solutions and the Maximum Principle.

**Theorem 2.1 (see [11]; Theorems 1.1 and 1.2)** Assume that  $\lambda < \lambda_{m,q}$ . Then there exists a unique solution  $u \in V_q(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for the equation (e). Moreover,

- (i) the weak Maximum Principle holds: if  $g \ge 0$ , then this solution u satisfies  $u \ge 0$ ,
- (ii) the strong Maximum Principle holds too: if  $g \ge 0$ ,  $g \ne 0$  then u > 0.

#### 2.2 Notations and Hypotheses

We recall that for each i = 1, ..., n the eigenpair  $(\lambda_i, \phi_i)$  is defined by (1.3)-(1.4). Let us denote by  $\Phi$  the vector defined by

$${}^t\Phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n). \tag{2.1}$$

We assume that for each i = 1, ..., n, the nonlinear term  $f_i$  of the system (1.1) satisfies the following hypotheses

(**h**<sub>4</sub>) For each i = 1, ..., n

- (i)  $f_i(x, k_1\phi_1, \dots, k_n\phi_n) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for all positive numbers  $k_1, \dots, k_n$ .
- (ii)  $0 \le f_i(x, u_1, \dots, u_n)$  for all  $u_1 \ge 0, \dots, u_n \ge 0$ .
- (iii) For all  $0 \le u_1 \le v_1, \dots, 0 \le u_n \le v_n$ ,

$$0 \le f_i(x, u_1, \dots, u_n) \le f_i(x, v_1, \dots, v_n).$$

(iv) For all positive real numbers  $k_1, \ldots, k_n$ ,

$$\frac{f_i(x,\eta k_1\phi_1,\ldots,\eta k_n\phi_n)}{\eta\phi_i} \to 0 \text{ as } \eta \to +\infty, \text{ uniformly in } x.$$

(v)  $f_i$  is Lipschitz respect to  $(u_1, \ldots, u_n)$  uniformly in x.

For instance, the function  $f_i(x, u_1, \ldots, u_n) = \sqrt{u_1 + \cdots + u_n} \ \mathbf{1}_K$  (where  $\mathbf{1}_K$  denotes the indicator function on a compact  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ) satisfies  $(\mathbf{h_4})(iv)$ .

We denote by  $L = (l_{ij})$  the following  $n \times n$  matrix be defined by

$$l_{ij} := \begin{cases} (\lambda_i - \mu_i)\alpha_i & \text{if } i = j, \\ -\|a_{ij}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)} & \text{if } i \neq j, \end{cases}$$
(2.2)

for all i, j = 1, ..., n. We also assume that the following hypothesis holds for some  $\beta > 0$ 

$$(\mathbf{h_5}) \ (L - \beta I) \Phi \ge 0$$

where I is the  $n \times n$  identity matrix. Here  $(L - \beta I)\Phi \ge 0$  means that the entries of  $(L - \beta I)\Phi$ are non-negative functions. Note that the hypothesis (**h**<sub>5</sub>) forces that the coupling is very weak, i. e., with small coefficients  $a_{ij}$  and with eigenfunctions  $\phi_i$  which have the same behaviour at infinity:  $\frac{\phi_i(x)}{\phi_j(x)}$  is bounded for all  $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$  and all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . We can now develop our main result in the next section.

#### 3 Existence of solutions

We begin stating our main result, obtained by considering a sub- and a super-solution of the system (1.1) and using the Schauder Fixed Point Theorem. We recall that  $(v_1, \ldots, v_n)$  is a sub-solution (resp. a super-solution) of the system (1.1) if for each  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ , we have

$$(-\Delta + q_i)v_i \le \mu_i m_i v_i + \sum_{j=1; j \ne i}^n a_{ij}v_j + f_i(x, v_1, \dots, v_n) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N$$
 (3.1)

(resp.  $\geq$ ).

**Theorem 3.1** Assume that the hypotheses  $(\mathbf{h_1})$ - $(\mathbf{h_5})$  are satisfied. Then the system (1.1) has at least one non-negative solution in  $V_{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times \cdots \times V_{q_n}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

**Proof:** First, note that  $u_0 = (0, ..., 0)$  is a sub-solution of the system (1.1). Then, by hypothesis (**h**<sub>5</sub>), we have  $(L - \beta I)\Phi \ge 0$  and so we get for each i = 1, ..., n,

$$(\lambda_i - \mu_i)m_i\phi_i - \beta\phi_i - \sum_{j=1; j \neq i}^n a_{ij}\phi_j \ge 0.$$

Since, by hypothesis  $(\mathbf{h}_4)(iv)$ , we have for  $\eta$  sufficiently large

$$0 \le \frac{f_i(x, \eta \phi_1, \dots, \eta \phi_n)}{\eta \phi_i} \le \beta,$$

we can write for  $\eta$  sufficiently large

$$(\lambda_i - \mu_i)m_i\eta\phi_i - \sum_{j=1; j \neq i}^n a_{ij}\eta\phi_j \ge \eta\beta\phi_i \ge f_i(x,\eta\phi_1,\ldots,\eta\phi_n).$$

Thus we have

$$(\lambda_i - \mu_i)m_i\eta\phi_i - \sum_{j=1; j \neq i}^n a_{ij}\eta\phi_j \ge f_i(x, \eta\phi_1, \dots, \eta\phi_n).$$
(3.2)

Therefore  $u^0 := \eta \Phi = (\eta \phi_1, \dots, \eta \phi_n)$  is a super-solution of the system (1.1).

Now, we define the set  $\sigma = [u_0, u^0]$ . Let  $\alpha$  be a positive real such that for all  $i, \mu_i + \alpha > 0$ . Let

$$T: (L^2(\mathbb{R}^N))^n \to (L^2(\mathbb{R}^N))^n$$
$$(u_1, \dots, u_n) = u \mapsto v = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$$

where for each  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ 

$$(-\Delta + q_i + \alpha m_i)v_i = (\mu_i + \alpha)m_i u_i + \sum_{j=1; j \neq i}^n a_{ij}u_j + f_i(x, u_1, \dots, u_n) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$
(3.3)

Note that, by the scalar case, T is well defined for all  $u \in \sigma$ .

As in [3], we prove now that  $T(\sigma) \subset \sigma$ . Let  $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_n) \in \sigma$  and  $T(u) = v = (v_1, \ldots, v_n)$ . By the weak Maximum Principle for the scalar case, since the system (1.1) is a cooperative one and  $\alpha > 0$ , we get  $v_i \ge 0$  for each  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ . Moreover, we have

$$(-\Delta + q_i + \alpha m_i)(\eta \phi_i - v_i) = (\lambda_i + \alpha)\eta m_i \phi_i - (\mu_i + \alpha)m_i u_i$$
$$-\sum_{j=1; j \neq i}^n a_{ij} u_j - f_i(x, u_1, \dots, u_n)$$

By (3.2), we get

$$(-\Delta + q_i + \alpha m_i)(\eta \phi_i - v_i)$$

$$\geq (\mu_i + \alpha) m_i(\eta \phi_i - u_i) + \sum_{j=1; j \neq i}^n a_{ij}(\eta \phi_j - u_j)$$

$$+ f_i(x, \eta \phi_1, \dots, \eta \phi_n) - f_i(x, u_1, \dots, u_n)$$

$$\geq 0.$$

By the weak Maximum Principle for the scalar case, we obtain  $v_i \leq \eta \phi_i$  for each  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ . Therefore  $T(\sigma) \subset \sigma$ .

As in [3], we prove now that T is continuous and that  $T(\sigma)$  is compact. Let  $(u_k)_k$ , where  $u_k = (u_{1k}, \ldots, u_{nk})$ , be a sequence in  $\sigma$  and define  $T(u_k) = v_k$  where  $v_k = (v_{1k}, \ldots, v_{nk})$ . First, if  $(u_k)$  converges to  $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_n)$  for  $\|\cdot\|_{(L^2(\Omega))^n}$ , and if  $T(u) = v = (v_1, \ldots, v_n)$ , from (3.3) we have for each  $i = 1, \ldots, n$  and for all k

$$(-\Delta + q_i + \alpha m_i)(v_{ik} - v_i) = (\mu_i + \alpha)m_i(u_{ik} - u_i) + \sum_{j=1; j \neq i}^n a_{ij}(u_{jk} - u_j) + f_i(x, u_{1k}, \dots, u_{nk}) - f_i(x, u_1, \dots, u_n).$$
(3.4)

Multiplying (3.4) by  $v_{ik} - v_i$  and integrating over  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , we get

$$\|v_{ik} - v_i\|_{q_i + \alpha m_i}^2 = (\mu_i + \alpha) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m_i (u_{ik} - u_i) (v_{ik} - v_i) + \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a_{ij} (u_{jk} - u_j) (v_{ik} - v_i) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (f_i (x, u_{1k}, \dots, u_{nk}) - f_i (x, u_1, \dots, u_n)) (v_{ik} - v_i).$$

Using the hypothesis  $(\mathbf{h}_4)(\mathbf{v})$  and the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, since the coefficients  $m_i$ and  $a_{ij}$  are bounded, we deduce that there exists a constant  $C_1 > 0$  such that

$$\|v_{ik} - v_i\|_{q_i + \alpha m_i} \le C_1 \sum_{j=1}^n \|u_{jk} - u_j\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

Therefore T is continuous. We prove now that  $T(\sigma)$  is compact. Multiplying (3.3) by  $v_{ik}$  we have also

$$\|v_{ik}\|_{q_i+\alpha m_i}^2 = (\mu_i + \alpha) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m_i u_{ik} v_{ik} + \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a_{ij} u_{jk} v_{ik} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_i(x, u_{1k}, \dots, u_{nk}) v_{ik}.$$

Since the coefficients  $m_i$  and  $a_{ij}$  are bounded, then by the hypothesis  $(\mathbf{h_4})$ , we see that  $f_i(x, u_{1k}, \ldots, u_{nk})$  is bounded too and we can deduce the existence of a constant  $C_2 > 0$  and of a constant  $C_3 > 0$  such that

$$||v_{ik}||_{q_i+\alpha m_i} \le C_2 (\sum_{j=1}^n ||u_{jk}||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} + C_3).$$

But  $u \in \sigma$ . Therefore the sequence  $(v_k)_k$  is bounded in  $V_{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times \cdots \times V_{q_n}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and since each of the embedding  $V_{q_i}(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is compact, we can find a subsequence of  $(v_k)_k$ which is convergent in  $(L^2(\mathbb{R}^N))^n$ . Therefore  $T(\sigma)$  is compact. By the Schauder Fixed Point Theorem, we deduce the existence of  $u \in \sigma$  such that T(u) = u. Clearly u is a non-negative solution of the system (1.1).

As in [14], we can relax the hypotheses on the increasing of each function  $f_i$  but assuming stronger hypotheses on the regularity of  $f_i$ . For the next result, we will suppose that each function  $f_i$  of the system (1.1) satisfies the following hypothesis

- $(\mathbf{h}'_{\mathbf{4}}) \quad (\mathrm{i}) \ f_i(x, u_1, \dots, \eta \phi_i, \dots, u_n) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ for all } \eta > 0 \text{ and all } 0 \le u_1 \le \eta \phi_1, \dots, 0 \le u_n \le \eta \phi_n.$ 
  - (ii)  $0 \le f_i(x, u_1, \dots, u_n)$  for all  $u_1 \ge 0, \dots, u_n \ge 0$ .
  - (iii)  $f_i$  is of class  $C^1$ .
  - (iv) For all  $0 \le u_1 \le \eta \phi_1, \ldots, 0 \le u_n \le \eta \phi_n$ ,

$$\frac{f_i(x, u_1, \dots, \eta \phi_i, \dots, u_n)}{\eta \phi_i} \to 0 \text{ as } \eta \to +\infty, \text{ uniformly in } x.$$

(v)  $f_i$  is Lipschitz respect to  $(u_1, \ldots, u_n)$  uniformly in x.

Following [14], we say that a couple  $(u_{01}, \ldots, u_{0n}) - (u_1^0, \ldots, u_n^0)$  is a sub-super-solution of the system (1.1) (Müller type conditions) if for each  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ ,

$$u_{0i} \le u_i^0$$

and moreover

$$\begin{cases} 0 \ge (-\Delta + q_i)u_{0i} - \mu_i m_i u_{0i} - \sum_{j=1; j \ne i}^n a_{ij} u_j - f_i(x, u_1, \dots, u_{0i}, \dots, u_n), \\ 0 \le (-\Delta + q_i)u_i^0 - \mu_i m_i u_i^0 - \sum_{j=1; j \ne i}^n a_{ij} u_j - f_i(x, u_1, \dots, u_i^0, \dots, u_n), \end{cases}$$
(3.5)

for any  $u_j \in [u_{0j}, u_j^0]$ .

It is clear that this definition is much more stringent than the natural definition (3.1) where (3.5) are only satisfied for  $u_j = u_{0j}$  (for the sub-solution) and for  $u_j = u_j^0$  (for the super-solution). Note that if  $f_i$  is increasing, both definitions coincide.

**Theorem 3.2** Assume that the hypotheses  $(\mathbf{h_1})$ - $(\mathbf{h_3})$ ,  $(\mathbf{h'_4})$  and  $(\mathbf{h_5})$  are satisfied. Then the system (1.1) has at least one non-negative solution in  $V_{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times \cdots \times V_{q_n}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

**Proof:** As in Theorem 3.1, we denote by  $u_0 = (0, ..., 0)$ ,  $\Phi = (\phi_1, ..., \phi_n)$  and by  $u^0 = \eta \Phi$  for  $\eta$  sufficiently large positive real defined later. First, we prove that  $(u_0, u^0)$  is a couple of sub-super-solution in the sense of (3.5).

Indeed, proceeding as for Theorem 3.1, using hypotheses  $(\mathbf{h}_4')$  and  $(\mathbf{h}_5)$  we have (for  $\eta$  sufficiently large)

$$(\lambda_i - \mu_i)m_i\eta\phi_i \ge \sum_{j=1; j \ne i}^n a_{ij}\eta\phi_j + f_i(x, u_1, \dots, \eta\phi_i, \dots, u_n) \text{ for any } 0 \le u_j \le \eta\phi_j$$

and therefore (since the system (1.1) is cooperative)

$$(\lambda_i - \mu_i)m_i\eta\phi_i \ge \sum_{j=1; j \ne i}^n a_{ij}u_j + f_i(x, u_1, \dots, \eta\phi_i, \dots, u_n) \text{ for any } 0 \le u_j \le \eta\phi_j.$$

We define the set  $\sigma = [u_0, u^0]$ . Let  $\alpha$  be a positive real such that for all  $i, \mu_i + \alpha > 0$ . Let

$$T_{\sigma}: \sigma \to (L^2(\mathbb{R}^N))^n$$
$$(u_1, \dots, u_n) = u \mapsto v = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$$

where for each  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ ,

$$(-\Delta + q_i + \alpha m_i + \rho m_i)v_i = (\mu_i + \alpha)m_iu_i + \sum_{j=1; j \neq i}^n a_{ij}u_j + f_i(x, u_1, \dots, u_n) + \rho m_iu_i \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N$$

and where  $\rho > 0$  is a constant such that  $f_i(x, u_1, \ldots, u_n) + \rho m_i u_i$  is increasing in  $u_i$ . We can find such  $\rho$  by hypotheses (**h**<sub>3</sub>) and (**h**'<sub>4</sub>) since the function  $f_i$  is  $C^1$ . Still by the scalar case, the operator  $T_{\sigma}$  is well defined and proceeding as for Theorem 3.1, we can prove that  $T_{\sigma}$  is continuous and  $T_{\sigma}(\sigma)$  is compact.

Now we prove that  $T_{\sigma}(\sigma) \subset \sigma$ . Let  $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_n) \in \sigma$  and  $T_{\sigma}(u) = v = (v_1, \ldots, v_n)$ . Note by the scalar case  $v_i \geq 0$  for each  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ . Moreover we have for each  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ 

$$(-\Delta + q_i + \alpha m_i + \rho m_i)(\eta \phi_i - v_i) \ge (\mu_i + \alpha) m_i(\eta \phi_i - u_i) + f_i(x, u_1, \dots, \eta \phi_i, \dots u_n) + \rho m_i \eta \phi_i - f_i(x, u_1, \dots, u_n) - \rho m_i u_i \ge 0.$$

Since  $u_i \leq \eta \phi_i$  and  $w_i \mapsto f_i(x, u_1, \dots, w_i, \dots, u_n) + \rho m_i w_i$  is increasing, by the scalar case, we obtain  $v_i \leq \eta \phi_i$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Therefore  $T_{\sigma}(\sigma) \subset \sigma$ .

By the Schauder Fixed Point Theorem, we deduce the existence of at least one fixed point of  $T_{\sigma}$  or equivalently, one weak non-negative solution of the system (1.1).

## 4 Study of a two-by-two system (i = 2)

For a  $2 \times 2$  cooperative system with constant coefficients a, b, c, d and the same potential q, if we rewrite the system (1.1) under the following form

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta + q)u = au + bv + f(x, u, v) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ (-\Delta + q)v = cu + dv + g(x, u, v) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases}$$

$$\tag{4.1}$$

the hypothesis  $(\mathbf{h}_5)$ ,  $(L - \beta I)\Phi \ge 0$ , means that

$$(\lambda_q - a - b - \beta)\phi_q \ge 0$$
 and  $(\lambda_q - c - d - \beta)\phi_q \ge 0$ 

where  $\lambda_q$  is the principal eigenvalue associated with the eigenfunction  $\phi_q$  for the operator  $-\Delta + q$  (q being a potential satisfying the hypothesis (**h**<sub>1</sub>)). Since  $\phi_q > 0$ , (**h**<sub>5</sub>) is equivalent, in this case, to  $\lambda_q \ge a + b + \beta$  and  $\lambda_q \ge c + d + \beta$ . Therefore the hypothesis (**h**<sub>5</sub>) is stronger than the usual hypothesis in [3], [6–11], [12], [13] which is  $\lambda_q > a$ ,  $\lambda_q > d$  and  $(\lambda_q - a)(\lambda_q - d) > bc$  or equivalently

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\lambda_q - a & -b \\
-c & \lambda_q - d
\end{pmatrix}$$
(4.2)

is a non-singular M-matrix. However, for the nonlinear terms of the system (1.1), we consider here in Theorem 3.1 another class of functions  $f_i$  (denoted by f and g for n = 2) than the one used in [3] or [6–11] (where in these papers, each function  $f_i$  satisfies

$$0 \leq f_i(x, u_1, \ldots, u_n) \leq \theta_i$$

for all  $u_i \geq 0$  and with  $\theta_i$  a fixed function in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

Moreover, for the system (4.1) when the function g depends only of u (g(u, v) := g(u)), using a decoupling method, we can prove the existence of a non-negative solution assuming that the nonlinear term f(x, u, v) satisfies ( $\mathbf{h_4}$ ) and that the 2 × 2 matrix defined by (4.2) is a non-singular M-matrix (which is the usual condition and a weaker hypothesis than ( $\mathbf{h_5}$ )).

So we now consider the following cooperative system

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta + q)u = au + bv + f(x, u, v) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ (-\Delta + q)v = cu + dv + g(x, u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases}$$
(4.3)

**Theorem 4.1** Assume that the potential q satisfies the hypothesis  $(\mathbf{h_1})$ , the coefficients a, b, c, d are real parameters with  $b \ge 0$  and  $c \ge 0$ , the function f satisfies the hypothesis  $(\mathbf{h_4})$  respect to  $\phi_q$  the principal eigenfunction associated with  $\lambda_q$  the first eigenvalue of the operator  $-\Delta + q$ . Assume also that the function g satisfies the following hypothesis

- (h<sub>6</sub>) (i) There exists a constant K > 0 such that  $0 \le g(u) \le Ku$  for all  $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $u \ge 0$ .
  - (ii)  $g(u_1) \le g(u_2)$  if  $0 \le u_1 \le u_2$ .
  - (iii) g is Lipschitz respect to u uniformly in x.

Assume that the  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $\Lambda$  be defined by

$$\Lambda = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \lambda_q - a & -b \\ -(c+K) & \lambda_q - d \end{array}\right)$$

is a non-singular M-matrix. Then the system (4.3) has at least one non-negative solution  $(u, v) \in (V_q(\mathbb{R}^N))^2$ .

**Proof:** We use the decoupling method combined with the sub- and super-solution method. First, we recall that

$$(-\Delta + q)\phi_q = \lambda_q \phi_q \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N$$
(4.4)

with  $\lambda_q > 0$  and  $\phi_q > 0$ . We proceed as in [1] and we define for  $u \ge 0$  the continuous and compact operator

$$Bu := (-\Delta + q - d)^{-1} (cu + g(u)).$$
(4.5)

Note that the operator B is well defined since  $d < \lambda_q$ . Therefore (u, v) is a solution of the system (4.3) if and only if v = Bu and

$$(-\Delta + q - a)u = bBu + f(x, u, Bu) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

$$(4.6)$$

We denote by  $\underline{u} := 0$ . By the weak Maximum Principle for the scalar case, since  $c \ge 0$  and  $g(\underline{u}) \ge 0$ , we have  $B\underline{u} \ge 0$  and using the hypothesis  $(\mathbf{h_4})$ , we have also  $f(x, \underline{u}, B\underline{u}) \ge 0$ . Therefore  $\underline{u}$  is a sub-solution of the equation (4.6).

We construct now a super-solution of the equation (4.6) of the form  $\overline{u} = \eta \phi_q$  where  $\eta$  will be a real positive parameter defined further on. Note that  $\overline{u}$  is a super-solution of the equation if and only if

$$(\lambda_q - a)\eta\phi_q \ge bB\eta\phi_q + f(x,\eta\phi_q,B\eta\phi_q).$$
(4.7)

We have

$$bB\eta\phi_q = \frac{bc\eta}{\lambda_q - d}\phi_q + b(-\Delta + q - d)^{-1}(g(\eta\phi_q)).$$

By the hypothesis upon g, we have  $0 \leq g(\eta \phi_q) \leq K \eta \phi_q$ . Still using the weak Maximum Principle for the scalar case, we deduce that

$$(-\Delta + q - d)^{-1}(g(\eta\phi_q)) \le (-\Delta + q - d)^{-1}(K\eta\phi_q) = \frac{\eta K}{\lambda_q - d}\phi_q.$$

Existence of non-negative Solutions for ...

So we get

$$bB\eta\phi_q \le \frac{b\eta(c+K)}{\lambda_q - d}\phi_q.$$

Moreover, from the hypothesis which assures that  $\Lambda$  is a non-singular M-matrix, we have

$$\frac{(\lambda_q-a)(\lambda_q-d)-b(c+K)}{\lambda_q-d}>0.$$

Since

$$f(x,\eta\phi_q,B\eta\phi_q) \le f(x,\eta\phi_q,\eta\frac{(c+K)}{\lambda_q-d}\phi_q),$$

by  $(\mathbf{h}_4)$ , we can choose a positive real  $\eta$  sufficiently large such that

$$0 \le \frac{f(x, \eta \phi_q, B\eta \phi_q)}{\eta \phi_q} < \frac{(\lambda_q - a)(\lambda_q - d) - b(c + K)}{\lambda_q - d}.$$

Therefore for  $\eta$  sufficiently large and now fixed, we have

$$bB\eta\phi_q + f(x,\eta\phi_q,B\eta\phi_q) \le \eta \frac{b(c+K)}{\lambda_q - d}\phi_q + \frac{(\lambda_q - a)(\lambda_q - d) - b(c+K)}{\lambda_q - d}\eta\phi_q$$

and so (4.7) is satisfied or equivalently  $\overline{u} = \eta \phi_q$  is a super-solution of the equation (4.6). Now we define the operator T on  $\sigma = [\underline{u}, \overline{u}]$  by

$$Tu := (-\Delta + q - a)^{-1} (bBu + f(x, u, Bu)).$$
(4.8)

Still again, the operator T is well defined since  $a < \lambda_q$ ,  $Bu \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $f(x, u, Bu) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for all  $u \in \sigma$ . We prove that  $T(\sigma) \subset \sigma$ . Let  $u \in \sigma$ . Since  $u \ge 0$  then  $Bu \ge 0$  and so  $f(x, u, Bu) \ge 0$  by the weak Maximum Principle for the scalar case. Therefore  $Tu \ge 0$ . We have from (4.7) and (4.8)

$$(-\Delta + q - a)(Tu) = bBu + f(x, u, Bu)$$

and

$$(-\Delta + q - a)\eta\phi_q = (\lambda_q - a)\eta\phi_q \ge bB\eta\phi_q + f(x,\eta\phi_q,B\eta\phi_q).$$

So we get

$$(-\Delta + q - a)(\eta\phi_q - Tu) \ge b(B\eta\phi_q - Bu) + f(x,\eta\phi_q,B\eta\phi_q) - f(x,u,Bu) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Moreover, since g is an increasing function with respect to u, by the weak Maximum Principle for the scalar case, we deduce that B is an increasing function with respect to u too. Therefore, using  $(\mathbf{h}_4)$  for f, we obtain

$$(-\Delta + q - a)(\eta \phi_q - Tu) \ge 0.$$

The weak Maximum Principle allows us to conclude that  $Tu \leq \eta \phi_q$  since  $a < \lambda_q$ . As for Theorem 3.1, we can prove that T is a continuous operator for the  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ -norm and by the compact embedding  $V_q(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  we get that  $T(\sigma)$  is compact.

By the Schauder Fixed Point Theorem, we deduce the existence of  $u_0 \in V_q(\mathbb{R}^N)$  such that

$$(-\Delta + q)u_0 = au_0 + bBu_0 + f(x, u_0, Bu_0)$$
 in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .

Clearly,  $(u_0, Bu_0)$  is a non-negative solution of the system (4.3).

Note that if we add an hypothesis on the nonlinear term g, then we can construct a subsolution of the equation (4.6) of the form  $\underline{u} = \epsilon \phi_q$  and consequently, we get the existence of a positive solution of the system (4.3). This is the following result.

**Theorem 4.2** Assume that the potential q satisfies the hypothesis  $(\mathbf{h_1})$ , the coefficients a, b, c, d are real parameters with b > 0 and  $c \ge 0$ , the function f satisfies the hypothesis  $(\mathbf{h_4})$  respect to  $\phi_q$  the principal eigenfunction associated with  $\lambda_q$  the first eigenvalue of the operator  $-\Delta + q$ . Assume also that the function g satisfies the hypothesis  $(\mathbf{h_6})$  and the following hypothesis

$$\lim_{s \to 0^+} \frac{g(s)}{s} \ge \frac{(\lambda_q - a)(\lambda_q - d) - bc}{b}$$

Assume that the  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $\Lambda$  be defined by

$$\Lambda = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \lambda_q - a & -b \\ -(c+K) & \lambda_q - d \end{array}\right)$$

is a non-singular M-matrix. Then the system (4.3) has at least one positive solution  $(u, v) \in (V_q(\mathbb{R}^N))^2$ .

**Proof:** We proceed as for Theorem 4.1. We construct a sub-solution of the equation (4.6) of the form  $\underline{u} = \epsilon \phi_q$  such that  $\underline{u} \leq s_0$  where  $s_0$  is a positive real sufficiently small which satisfies

$$\frac{g(s)}{s} \geq \frac{(\lambda_q - a)(\lambda_q - d) - bc}{b}$$

for all  $0 < s \le s_0$ . This is possible due to the boundedness of the function  $\phi_q$ . Indeed, since  $0 < \epsilon \phi_q \le s_0$ , then

$$g(\epsilon \phi_q) \ge \frac{(\lambda_q - a)(\lambda_q - d) - bc}{b} \epsilon \phi_q.$$

Thus, by the Maximum Principle for the scalar case, we have:

$$(-\Delta + q - d)^{-1}g(\epsilon\phi_q) \ge \frac{(\lambda_q - a)(\lambda_q - d) - bc}{b(\lambda_q - d)}\epsilon\phi_q$$

Existence of non-negative Solutions for ...

and so

$$bB(\epsilon\phi_q) \ge \frac{bc\epsilon}{\lambda_q - d}\phi_q + \frac{(\lambda_q - a)(\lambda_q - d) - bc}{(\lambda_q - d)}\epsilon\phi_q = (\lambda_q - a)\epsilon\phi_q.$$

Since  $f(x, \epsilon \phi_q, B \epsilon \phi_q) \ge 0$ , we well deduce that  $\underline{u} = \epsilon \phi_q$  is a sub-solution of the equation (4.6).

We can conclude as for Theorem 4.1 applying the Schauder Fixed Point Theorem for the operator T defined by (4.8) in the set  $[\epsilon \phi_q, \eta \phi_q]$ . We have just to verify that  $T([\epsilon \phi_q, \eta \phi_q]) \subset [\epsilon \phi_q, \eta \phi_q]$  i.e. if  $\epsilon \phi_q \leq u \leq \eta \phi_q$ , then  $Tu \geq \epsilon \phi_q$ . Indeed, from (4.6), since  $\epsilon \phi_q$  is a sub-solution of (4.6), we have:

$$(-\Delta + q - a)(Tu - \epsilon\phi_q) \ge b(Bu - B(\epsilon\phi_q)) + f(x, u, Bu) - f(x, \epsilon\phi_q, B\epsilon\phi_q).$$

By the Maximum Principle for the scalar case, since  $a < \lambda_q$ , we get  $\epsilon \phi_q \leq T u$ .

We conclude giving a uniqueness result. As in [3], we add for that the following hypothesis

(h<sub>7</sub>) There exists a concave function H such that  $f(x, u, v) = b \frac{\partial H}{\partial u}(x, u, v)$  and  $g(x, u) = c \frac{\partial H}{\partial v}(x, u, v)$  for all u, v.

Then, proceeding exactly as in [3], we have the following result.

**Theorem 4.3** Assume that the potential q satisfies the hypothesis  $(\mathbf{h_1})$ , the coefficients a, b, c, d are real parameters with b > 0 and c > 0, the function f satisfies the hypothesis  $(\mathbf{h_4})$ , the function g satisfies the hypothesis  $(\mathbf{h_6})$ . Assume that the  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $\Lambda$  be defined by

$$\Lambda = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \lambda_q - a & -b \\ -(c+K) & \lambda_q - d \end{array}\right)$$

is a non-singular M-matrix and the hypothesis  $(\mathbf{h}_7)$  is satisfied. Then the system (4.3) has a unique positive solution  $(u, v) \in (V_q(\mathbb{R}^N))^2$ .

#### References

- Abachti-Mchachti, A., and Flekinger, J.: Existence of Positive Solutions for a Non Cooperative Semilinear Elliptic System Defined on un Unbounded Domain. First European Conference on Parabolic and Elliptic Problem, Pont-à-Mousson, (1991)
- [2] Agmon, S.: Bounds on Exponential Decay of Eigenfunctions of Schrödinger Operators. Schrödinger Operators, (Como, 1984), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1985), 1-38
- [3] Alziary, B., Cardoulis, L., and Fleckinger, J. : Maximum Principle and Existence of Solutions for Elliptic Systems Involving Schrödinger Operators. Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exact. Fis. Nat. Vol. 91, N. 1, 47-52 (1997)

- [4] Anane, A. : Simplicité et Isolation de la Première Valeur Propre du p-Laplacien avec Poids. Comptes Rendus Acad. Sc. Paris, Série I, 305, 725-728 (1987)
- [5] Brown, K.J., and Lin, S.S.: On the Existence of Positive Eigenfunction for an Eigenvalue Problem with Indefinite Weight Function. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, V. 75, N. 1, 112-120 (1980)
- [6] Cardoulis, L.: Existence of Solutions for Nonnecessarily Cooperative Systems Involving Schrödinger Operators. Int. Journ. of Math. and Math. Sc. Vol. 27, N. 12, 725-736 (2001)
- [7] Cardoulis, L.: Existence of Solutions for some Semilinear Elliptic Systems. Rostock. Math. Kolloq. 56, 29-38 (2002)
- [8] Cardoulis, L.: Existence of Solutions for an Elliptic Equation Involving a Schrödinger Operator with Weight in all of the Space. Rostock. Math. Kolloq. 58, 53-65 (2004)
- [9] Cardoulis, L. : Maximum Principle and Existence of Solutions for Systems Involving -div(ρ∇) Operator on a Bounded Domain. Journal of the Egypt. Math. Soc. V. 12(2), 109-113 (2004)
- [10] Cardoulis, L.: Existence of Solutions for a System Involving Schrödinger Operators with Weights. Proc. of the Edinburgh Math. Soc. 50, 611-635 (2007)
- [11] Cardoulis, L.: Existence of Solutions for a System Involving Schrödinger Operators with Weights. Electron. J. Diff. Eqns., Conference 16, 59-80 (2007)
- [12] de Figueiredo, D.G., and Mitidieri, E. : Maximum Principle for Cooperative Elliptic Systems. Comptes Rendus Acad. Sc. Paris, t. 310, 49-52 (1990)
- [13] Fleckinger, J., Hernandez, J., and de Thélin, F. : On Maximum Principles and Existence of Positive Solutions for Some Cooperative Elliptic Systems. Diff. and Int. Eq. V. 8, N. 1, 68-85 (1995)
- [14] Hernández, J. : Some Existence and Stability Results for Solutions of Reaction-Diffusion Systems with Nonlinear Boundary Conditions. Nonlinear Diff. Equa.: Invariance, Stability and Bifurcation 161, 161-173 (1981)
- [15] Hess, P., and Kato, T.: On Some Linear and Nonlinear Eigenvalue Problems with an Indefinite Weight Function. Cann P. D. E. 5, 993-1034 (1984)
- [16] Schaefer, H.H.: Topological Vector Spaces. Macmillan Comp. New York (1966)

Existence of non-negative Solutions for ...

received: October 2, 2008

## Authors:

Laure Cardoulis Hoang Quoc Toan CeReMath/UMR MIP, Université de Toulouse 1, 21 allées de Brienne, 31000 Toulouse, France Hanoi, Vietnam e-mail: laure.cardoulis@univ-tlse1.fr

Department of Mathematics, Mechanics and Informatics, College of Science, Vietnam National University,

Quố c Anh Ngô Department of Mathematics, Mechanics and Informatics, College of Science, Vietnam National University, Hanoi, Vietnam e-mail: bookworm vn@yahoo.com